

17795

NIC 17795

RFC 549

Postel

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1973

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

Steve Bunch, host
Attendees: See appendix

Sunday evening, 15 July

The meeting came to order around 1930, Jim Michener presiding. After introductions, an agenda was constructed for the rest of the meeting.

Elaine Thomas distributed copies of an Alternative Network Graphics protocol for attendees to read overnight prior to discussion.

Because some individuals were absent who had definitely indicated that they were coming Monday morning, the meeting was adjourned at 2030 after deciding to meet at 0930 the next morning.

Monday Morning/Afternoon, 16 July

The meeting was called to order at 0930

Jim Michener distributed an outline of a paper describing desirable facilities for the use of two dimensional input devices with a hierarchically structured display program.

Ken Victor distributed copies of RFC 553: A Proposed Network Text/Graphics Protocol. (LJOURNAL,17810,)

Ken Pogran described the history of the NGG and how the "levels" approach of RFC 493 came about. In particular, the "level 0" protocol was an attempt to define something to experiment with, but with the thought that it should be possible to imbed "level 0" meaningfully in any later protocol.

Reports of Network Graphics Experiences

Jon Jervert described the installation at CAD/CAM (Fort Monmouth). They have a spectrum of display terminals and have tried several via a Telnet connection to MIT-DMCG. They experienced unacceptable slowness with a 300 Baud bandwidth.

Austin Henderson described an Air Traffic Control experiment in which the simulator receives codes describing changes in state and generates descriptions of the air

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

space (region) being controlled and aircraft position and velocity. These descriptions are highly encoded--they are not pictures in any general sense. The rate at which the simulation proceeded was adequate.

Jim Michener described the results of an experiment in which the E&S LDS-1 at MIT-DMCG was used to generate stylus inking input for a character recognition program at SDC. The experiment was plagued with difficulties including bugs in SDC's NCP and scheduling of experimental/debugging sessions. When the experiment was finally terminated (due to planned extensive hardware modifications at DMCG) a clear understanding had not yet emerged, but apparently network transmission delays had been experienced of up to 20 seconds.

Dan Cohen described an Aircraft Flight Simulator which interacts with a user at the Harvard PDP-1. The simulation takes place on a PDP-10. Network traffic is approximately 200 bits from the PDP-1 to the PDP-10 and several thousand bits in the opposite direction. It has been found that at least 5 updates are required per second to give the "pilot" an adequate feeling of control. The Harvard PDP-10 and one at BBN have been used, the latter at 6 AM to avoid loading problems.

John Pickens described UCSB's status regarding output in level 0 Network Graphics Protocol (NGP-0).

Steve Bunch reported that he has an Imlac monitor which accepts NGP-0 directly. Programs have been developed at CCN (using subroutine packages modelled after plotter packages) which build files containing pictures in NGP-0. Other programs output the pictures either to a Gould plotter or a storage display (in device specific code) or to an Imlac (in NGP-0 form).

Steve Holmgren briefly described a Fancy Arpa Network Graphics System (FANGS) under development at UCSD.

Discussion of Modifications in the Graphics Protocol

David Egli reported that he and Jim Foley (of Univ. of North Carolina) thought that the graphics protocol should have the ability to replace items, and that 3 dimensional data should be allowable. Jim Foley also thinks that a subpicture call should be able to specify a rate of

apart from the usual conditions and slightly modified and
adjusted. These conditions are: (1) the patient is
not allowed to eat or drink for 24 hours before the
operation. (2) The patient is given a light diet
the day before the operation. (3) The patient is
given a cathartic the day before the operation.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

The patient is then taken to the operating room and
placed on the operating table. The patient is then
anesthetized with ether. The operation is then
performed. The patient is then taken to the recovery
room and given oxygen. The patient is then
taken to the ward and given a light diet. The
patient is then discharged from the hospital.

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

rotation, scaling, and translation, in addition to initial values for these.

An extended coffee break followed to allow perusal of the documents distributed.

Elaine Thomas summarized her protocol proposal for a hierarchically structured, editable display file.

Discussion related to the levels approach of RFC 493 concluded that levels were inappropriate; we would henceforth think in terms of negotiable options.

Ken Victor stressed that NLS was particularly desirous of being able to make use of the graphics protocol; that was the reason for their developing RFC 553.

Ken Pogran observed that a structured display system as is being proposed is more a distributed graphics system than a protocol, and that he thought this a good idea. General consensus agreed with him.

Jim Michener described proposals for input. He emphasized the necessity of transmitting position information in figure coordinates as opposed to screen coordinates or top level figure coordinates.

Bob Sproull described two different ways in which a graphics application in a serving host can communicate to a using host controlling a display device.

If the using host has complex enough software or hardware, a structured definition of the display may be sent.

A structured display definition consists of figures (also called pictures or groups) which consist of units. A unit is either a call to another figure or a collection of one or more text or graphic commands. (Other special purpose units may exist, also.) Figures and units have names and may be created, replaced and deleted (and other things).

A simpler scheme for the using host is that transformed segmented display information be sent across the network.

... and ... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

... in ...

Segments have names and can be individually created, replaced and deleted.

Either the application works directly in terms of segments, or it works in terms of a structured display definition and software at the serving host has the responsibility of evaluating the transformations and the sub-figure calls.

It seems likely that such transformation software might have to exist at the serving host anyway if that host has any graphics terminals of small to moderate capability.

It was agreed to restrict our attention to the simpler "transformed-segmented" scheme, and delay consideration of the "hierarchically structured" scheme until another meeting.

It seemed to the meeting that a significant number of applications would need nothing more powerful than a segmented scheme.

One desirable mechanism is an "end batch of updates" command. It can help optimize the use of a storage terminal and it can let a user program cause fixes to occur on a refresh tube all at once.

After lunch, Ira Cotton pointed out that it would be easy enough to allow NGP-0 to be upward compatible with a segmented, transformed scheme. Bob Sproull agreed and said that that was a good argument for sending only device independent data on the net. (This idea was modified in discussion on Tuesday.)

Ken Victor discussed TTY units, a mechanism for displaying characters which are "unescorted" i.e., are not part of a graphics "text" command. In particular they are for spontaneous messages from the operating system (like "out of funds" or "going down in 5 min"). General discussion was undecided on whether TTY units should really be part of a graphics protocol. (This was later decided affirmatively.)

It was noted that unescorted characters coming from the serving host could probably be handled, but that those coming from the using host might not be.

Requests have been made and are being actively created.
Requests are being made.

Under the provisions of the Act, it is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out. It is the duty of the Attorney General to see
that the provisions of the Act are carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

It is the duty of the Attorney General to see that the
provisions of the Act are carried out. It is the duty of the
Attorney General to see that the provisions of the Act are
carried out.

Discussion of Network Connection for Graphics

A graphics connection may start out with a Telnet connection. We will request a DO GRAPHICS telnet option.

Multiplexing on the Telnet connection vs using a separate connection pair

Dan Cohen stated that his Flight Simulator uses a second pair

Alex McKenzie pointed out that some hosts have only "read and block" input commands, not "read and continue". This means we cannot demand to have separate connection pairs with graphics on one and telnet-type information on the other.

Jim Hansen called for a show of hands of preferences: NLS was the only site against using multiple connection. Several sites were against multiplexing graphics information on the Telnet connection. Issues included:

It is easier to merge two streams at the user than to split one into two. The latter requires "smart" programming.

TIP users may lose if multiple connections are required

It should be possible to do it on one connection

In summary: two connections are better than one, the number shall be negotiated over the Telnet connection.

Ira Cotton asked for a discussion of connection initiation other than via a Telnet connection. It was agreed that we did not know enough at this time to specify this and that it was a matter for experimentation.

Someone commented that what we have is a Network Virtual Graphics Terminal which has a Network Virtual Keyboard and a Network Virtual Printer (in the Telnet sense) and a Network Virtual Display Unit. The printer and the display unit may be the same.

Ira Cotton announced that Jim Foley (of Univ. of North

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

Carolina) is planning to have a workshop on machine independent graphics under the auspices of SIGGRAPH in Washington D.C. around mid-April (cherry blossom time).

Discussion of Graphics Input

Dan Cohen summarized the use of input in his flight simulator: since it comprises only approximately 200 bits in toto, all switches, knobs, and stylus position are transmitted. This takes place about five times per second.

Austin Henderson described the input facilities on the LL TX-2.

Attentions are enabled. What information will be desired when a particular attention occurs is described at the time the attention is enabled.

When an attention occurs, the system records the desired information in a queue for the application program.

When the application program is next scheduled it examines the queue and responds as it sees fit.

It was generally agreed to adopt the TX-2 strategy. Input devices will not be enabled unless the server does so.

No restriction is placed on any "lies" the using host wishes to make regarding disguising one device as another.

Network connections for input follow the same rule as for output.

What input attentions are implemented at the using host may be determined by the serving host in response to an inquiry.

Inking will be provided by the using host (but only one inking input can be specified at a time; no buffering ahead shall be done by the using host).

Tracking means the feedback of the current two dimensional input device position to the user.

This is automatically turned on by Inking, Positioning, and Targeting (hitting) attentions.

Estimated in planning to give a workshop on machine
language research under the auspices of AIRWAY in
Washington D.C. from 1971-1972 (see figure 1).

Organization of Graphical Data

San Jose University and the use of input in the field
of research. Since it is considered only approximately the same
in order, all research, which, and which position the
researched. This paper also shows the same for research.

Graphical research described the input facilities on the 12-17
12-17

Research is also described. When research is done in
order when a graphical research is done in order
as the same the research is done.

When an attention is given to the research, the research
research is a value for the research research.

When the application research is done research is
researched for research and research as of 12-17 12-17.

It was generally agreed to agree on 12-17 research. Input
research will not be entered unless the research is done.

No research is given to any "input" and which research
research is done research research and research as
research.

Research research for input research research as for
research.

When input research is done research as the same research as
researched by the research research as of 12-17 12-17.

Input will be provided by the same research as of 12-17 12-17
input research as of 12-17 12-17; no research as of 12-17 12-17
will be done by the same research.

Research research the research of the research research research
input device research as the same.

This is generally agreed on 12-17 research, research.
and research research research.

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

What data are reported at the time of an attention is specified by the application at the server when the attention is enabled.

Types of attentions were listed and also what additional optional information could be specified with each.

Deactivating Inputs was discussed.

It is possible for the application to explicitly deactivate an attention.

When an attention is enabled it shall be possible to specify when it should be deactivated. Three modes were mentioned: Never turned off (until the application explicitly does so), turned off when it occurs (self-destruct), turned off when any attention occurs.

The need for a synchronization message was agreed upon.

It was agreed that the serving host - using host relationship would be one of master - slave. Among other things, the using host would never volunteer input information which the serving host (application) had not asked for.

It was decided to meet the next morning at 0830

The meeting adjourned about 1830

Monday Evening, 16 July

About 2030 seven of us met in Ken Victor's room

Bob Sproull led the meeting and kept track of the various aspects of the protocol.

Protocol topics which had been discussed during the day's meeting were covered again. Most aspects were firmed up based on the day's discussions. Several topics were identified for discussion in the morning.

Operations on and attributes of segments were defined.

The server should be able to enquire for various information from the using host.

Whether the using host has all the features implemented (which the application needs).

What input devices the human has at his disposal.

What sort of terminal is being used, not so as to send device specific code to it, but so that the application does not try to use some graphics programming technique on a terminal which can not handle it (e.g., some sort of dynamics on a storage tube).

The server may request that the using host report what segments have been defined, their status, and what is contained in them. This is good for debugging, and also provides a limited facility of building a picture then dumping it to some storage medium other than a graphics device.

It was pointed out that the effect of multiple changes in the display (replacing, inserting and deleting segments) should occur "all at once" when an "end batch of updates" command is received by the using host.

For a refreshed display, this means keeping old and new copies of segments until the "batch" command is received.

This rule may be waived if storage limitations dictate.

There was considerable discussion on input. It was felt to be the least firm of any aspects of the protocol.

The meeting broke up around 0030?

Tuesday Morning/Afternoon, 17 July

Bob Sproull presented the results of the previous evening's discussion to the whole meeting.

The features required of a graphics user program under the proposed protocol were divided into three classes:

Required features included segment manipulation, primitive graphics output operations, and response to queries from the server regarding what is implemented at the using host, what input devices the human has available, etc.

Optional features included TTY units, reporting the contents of a segment back to the server at his request.

Experimental features included Input.

It was assumed that after some experience, experimental features would become either required or optional.

A full list of required, optional, and experimental features will be issued as a supplement to the description of the protocol.

A graphics server program need only implement those features which applications at that site make use of.

There was some discussion regarding how and when the graphics protocol should be published.

The protocol is still regarded as experimental, and we wouldn't want any site to assume otherwise, to their later dismay.

Some worry was expressed about finally presenting this protocol to the Network Community in a form that would not frighten too many people.

Ira Cotton advised us to include a glossary

Bob Sproull will put an initial version (skeleton) of a description of the graphics protocol for transformed-segmented scheme into NLS and will invite everybody in the group to edit it (in the normal NLS fashion).

When one does editing normally, one's ident, the date and the time are associated with each statement one touches. This information can be seen via the viewspec (capital) K.

There was some discussion of whether Level 0 NGP could be imbedded in the Transformed-segmented graphics protocol.

One unfortunate part of NGP-0 was that an End-Picture the is not explicitly required in order to see something. If it were required, then it could act like an end-batch-of-updates command.

UCSB assumes that NGP-0 works like a storage tube. They append a new function plot to an existing picture never having sent an End-Picture operation.

This ability to append in a storage tube fashion struck the possessors of refresh tubes as quite a drawback, because of implementation difficulties.

It was decided to allow a using site to have NGP-0 compatibility, but not to require it.

At least the NGP-0 opcodes would not be reused.

Except for the End-Picture problem, and possibly also a coordinate system problem (coordsys), NGP-0 can be imbedded in the transformed-segmented protocol with the entire NGP-0 picture corresponding to a single segment.

The following sites hope to achieve implementations of the experimental segmented protocol:

UCSB hopes to have a server running for OLS and Signal Analysis (speech processing)

SRI-ARC hopes to have NLS operate in this protocol

MIT-DMCG may have some simple serving programs.

Several people plan to implement user programs, at least as far as the required features go.

(coordsys) A discussion arose concerning what coordinate system should be used in sending graphics output primitives from the server to the user.

The following problems were addressed:

What happens if the display segment terminal screen area to be used by the application is not rectangular?

What happens if the basic unit delta X is not the same as the unit delta Y? The application might want a 45 degree line to really be at 45 degrees.

Various answers to the first question:

Use the largest square within the rectangle (centered?, adjusted to the left, top, right, or bottom?)

Use the smallest square surrounding the rectangle. (How is the rectangle positioned in the square?)

This policy is based on the fact that
the Department of Agriculture is the
primary agency responsible for the
management of the Nation's forests.
It was decided to allow a more
flexible approach to the management
of the Nation's forests.

As part of the 1970-71
program for the management of the
Nation's forests, the Department
of Agriculture has decided to
allow a more flexible approach
to the management of the Nation's
forests.

The following are the major
elements of the program:

1. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

2. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

3. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

4. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

5. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

6. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

7. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

8. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

9. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

10. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

11. To provide for the
management of the Nation's
forests.

NGP-0 standard coordinates ($-1/2$ to $+1/2$) used and mapped into the whole rectangle

The user reports left, bottom, right, and top physical coordinates and the server sends coordinates within the range given.

This is compatible with the attitude that the transformed(!) segmented graphics data are sent.

It also saves the using host (which might be an Imlac) from doing a multiply.

John Pickens observed that if a graphics server for a finicky application transmits characters as strokes, then the application is assured of having the characters positioned in exactly the right place (e.g., for a numeric label on a tic mark on the axis of a graph. If characters are sent as text (not strokes) positioning is not necessarily guaranteed.

Ken Victor and Jim Michener will look into ways of keeping the NGG apprised of progress (in terms of what sites have experimental/operational graphics protocol servers or user programs) using a pointer file in the NIC.

The next NGG meeting is tentatively scheduled for the first Sunday in February 73, at 8 PM. It will either be at the NIC or partly there and partly at Xerox PARC.

The meeting was adjourned at 1500

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

Appendix: Meeting Participants/ Affiliation/ Online mailing
address/ Attendance (S=Sunday, M=Monday day, E=Monday Evening,
T=Tuesday)

Steve Bunch ILL-ANTS
BUNCH@ISI
SMT

Dan Cohen Harvard
DCOHEN@ISI or COHEN@HARVARD
SMET

Ira Cotton National Bureau of Standards
NBS-TIP@NIC attention Ira Cotton
SMET

John Day ILL-ANTS
S

David Egli CAD/CAM (Fort Monmouth)
ECOM@BBN
SMT

Jim Hansen ILL-ANTS
HANSEN@ISI
SMT

Jim Hart NASA/Ames
MT

Austin Henderson Lincoln Labs
DAH@TX2 or DAH@BBN
SMET

Steve Holmgren ILL-ANTS
HOLMGREN@ISI
MT

John Jervert CAD/CAM (Fort Monmouth)
ECOM@BBN
SMT

Alex McKenzie BBN
AAM in the journal or MCKENZIE@SRI-ARC
SMT

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

REPORT OF A WORK GROUP ON THE
12-27-77
CONCEPT AND ADVANCED CONCEPTS, 6 of 11

MINUTES OF NETWORK GRAPHICS GROUP MEETING
15-17 July 1973
Center for Advanced Computation, U of Ill

James Michener MIT-DMCG
JCM in the journal or JCM@DMCG
SMET

John Pickens UCSB
JRP in the journal or UCSB@ISI (attn: John Pickens)
MT

Ken Progran MIT-Multics
Pogran.CompNet at MIT-MULTICS
SMT

Bob Sproull XEROX
SPROULL@MAXC
MET

Elaine Thomas BBN
THOMAS@BBN
SMET

Ken Victor SRI-ARC
VICTOR@NIC
SMET

